

## Is Intervention for Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis Indicated Given Modern Medical Management?

# Yes, the Data Are Clear!

### Christopher J. White MD, MSCAI, FACC, FAHA, FESC, FACP Chief Medical Services, Ochsner Medical Center Professor and Chairman of Medicine, Ochsner Clinical School, UQ System Chair for Cardiovascular Diseases New Orleans, LA







I, Christopher J. White MD, DO NOT have a financial interest/arrangement or affiliation with one or more organizations that could be perceived as a real or apparent conflict of presentation.



All TCT 2017 faculty disclosures are listed online and on the app.

**Disclosure Statement of Financial Interest** 

- interest in the context of the subject of this





# **Percent Choosing Treatment Options**

- 67-year-old man with a carotid bruit and 80% RICA.
- nonsmoker
- hypertension
- hyperlipidemia



Medical management

Carotid stenting

Carotid endarterectomy



Klein, A, et al. New England Journal of Medicine 358.20 (2008): 1617-1621.









Vikatmaa P, Mitchell D, Jensen LP, et al. Variation in clinical practice in carotid surgery in nine countries 2005-2010: lessons from VASCUNET and recommendations for the future of national clinical audit. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2012;44:11-7.







## Low Risk of Ipsilateral Stroke in Patients With Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis on Best Medical Treatment : A Prospective, Population-Based Study Lars Marquardt, Olivia C. Geraghty, Ziyah Mehta and Peter M. Rothwell





### American Stroke **Association**<sub>st</sub>

A Division of American

• 1153 consecutively imaged patients with stroke or TIA

• 101 (8.8%) had  $\geq$ 50% asymptomatic carotid stenoses (mean age, 75 years; 39% women; 40% age 80 years) recruited consecutively from 2002 to 2009 and given intensive contemporary medical treatment.















# Table 3.Average ArDuring Follow-Up

### **Events**

Ipsilateral stroke Ipsilateral TIA Other territory stroke Other territory TIA Myocardial infarction Unstable angina Vascular death Nonvascular death



Marquardt L, et al. Stroke 2010, 41:e11-e17.

## Table 3. Average Annual Risk of Vascular Events and Deaths

Average Annual Risk, % (95% CI) 0.34 (0.01–1.87) 1.78 (0.58–4.16) 8.32 (5.08–12.85) 5.15 (2.74-8.81) 4.70 (2.50-8.04) 1.03(0.21 - 3.01)7.70 (5.79–12.98) 2.01 (0.82–4.76)





## **Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis**

Risk of progression to occlusion is low.

## **– ACST<sup>1</sup>: 1,469 MED Group:**

- 94 progressed to occlusion.
- 12 with symptoms.
- 1 with stroke.
- Yang et al<sup>2</sup>: 3,681 MED for 20 yrs.
  - 80% occlusions before 2002.
  - Only 1 stroke with occlusion.



Occlusion by Year (Yang et al.<sup>2</sup>)



2. Yang, et al. JAMA Neurol. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.1843









## **Risk Stratification**

- **Clinical features**
- Stenosis severity
- Stenosis progression
- Plaque echolucency/Computerized plaque analysis
- Plaque micro-ulcers
- Transcranial Doppler embolization
- 'Silent' infarction on computed tomography
- Impaired cerebral vascular reserve











### 60 – 79% Stenosis





## **Does Stenosis Affect Event Rate ?**

### 80 – 99% Stenosis

## Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis **Predictors of Stroke**

## **Percent Stenosis**





Plaque Burden

### Yang, et al. JAMA Neurol. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.1843







ACT-1

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

### Randomized Trial of Stent versus Surgery for Asymptomatic Carotid Stenosis

Kenneth Rosenfield, M.D., M.H.C.D.S., Jon S. Matsumura, M.D., Seemant Chaturvedi, M.D., Tom Riles, M.D., Gary M. Ansel, M.D., D. Chris Metzger, M.D., Lawrence Wechsler, M.D., Michael R. Jaff, D.O., and William Gray, M.D., for the ACT I Investigators\*

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

#### Stenting versus Endarterectomy for Treatment of Carotid-Artery Stenosis

Thomas G. Brott, M.D., Robert W. Hobson, II, M.D.,\* George Howard, Dr.P.H., Gary S. Roubin, M.D., Ph.D., Wayne M. Clark, M.D., William Brooks, M.D., Ariane Mackey, M.D., Michael D. Hill, M.D., Pierre P. Leimgruber, M.D., Alice J. Sheffet, Ph.D., Virginia J. Howard, Ph.D., Wesley S. Moore, M.D., Jenifer H. Voeks, Ph.D., L. Nelson Hopkins, M.D., Donald E. Cutlip, M.D., David J. Cohen, M.D., Jeffrey J. Popma, M.D., Robert D. Ferguson, M.D., Stanley N. Cohen, M.D., Joseph L. Blackshear, M.D., Frank L. Silver, M.D., J.P. Mohr, M.D., Brajesh K. Lal, M.D., and James F. Meschia, M.D., for the CREST Investigators

	CAS		CEA			
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	
ACT I 2016	41	1089	12	364	22.9%	
Brooks et al	0	43	0	42		
CREST 2016	42	594	41	587	49.2%	
SAPPHIRE 2008	25	117	35	120	28.0%	
Total (95% CI)		1843		1113	<b>100.0</b> %	
Total events	108		88			
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 1.83, df = 2 (P = 0.40); I <sup>2</sup> = 0%						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.49$ (P = 0.62)						

### Death, Stroke, or MI during peri-procedural period and Ipsilateral **Stroke during 4 year follow up**



## CAS vs CEA: Asymptomatic CREST **SAPPHIRE**

### The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

JULY 1, 2010

VOL. 363 NO. 1

### The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

ESTABLISHED IN 1812

**OCTOBER 7, 2004** 

VOL. 351 NO. 15

#### Protected Carotid-Artery Stenting versus Endarterectomy in High-Risk Patients

Jay S. Yadav, M.D., Mark H. Wholey, M.D., Richard E. Kuntz, M.D., M.Sc., Pierre Fayad, M.D., Barry T. Katzen, M.D., Gregory J. Mishkel, M.D., Tanvir K. Bajwa, M.D., Patrick Whitlow, M.D., Neil E. Strickman, M.D., Michael R. Jaff, D.O., Jeffrey J. Popma, M.D., David B. Snead, Ph.D., Donald E. Cutlip, M.D., Brian G. Firth, M.D., Ph.D., and Kenneth Ouriel, M.D., for the Stenting and Angioplasty with Protection in Patients at High Risk for Endarterectomy Investigators\*

#### Odds Ratio Odds Ratio M-H, Random, 95% CI M-H, Random, 95% CI 1.15 [0.60, 2.21] Not estimable 1.01 [0.65, 1.58] 0.66 [0.37, 1.19] 0.92 [0.68, 1.26] 0.01 0.1 10 Favors CAS Favors CEA











## **Inclusion Criteria**

- •Asymptomatic for  $\geq 6 \mod 6$
- •Stenosis  $\geq 70\%$
- Eligible for CEA/CAS





Endpoint = all 30 day stroke & death plus 4 yr ipsilateral stroke.





# Stroke Prevention

## **RISK FACTOR**

**Blood Pressure** 

**Atrial Fibrillation** 

Smoking

Cholesterol

Diabetes

Exercise

Diet

Stroke in Family

Best medical therapy.



## ASYMPTOMATIC > 70%

## - Randomize to CREST - 2.

## Equipoise for CAS vs. CEA.

## Expertise for CAS and CEA important.







## CONCLUSION

- CEA).
- - TCD microemboli



 A small percentage (<10%) of asymptomatic carotid</li> patients will likely benefit from revascularization (CAS or

We just can't tell which ones at this time.

The best recommendation is to select pts with "vulnerable" plaques who fail guideline directed medical therapy.

★ Plaque progression over time.

★ Bulky homogenous echolucent plaque.





