How to Choose Among Carotid Embolic Protection Devices?

James P. Zidar, M.D., F.A.C.C., F.S.C.A.I. Associate Professor of Medicine Duke University Medical Center Director, Cardiovascular Services Duke Health Raleigh Hospital

Conflicts / Disclosures

Consultant/Advisory Board member/research support:

- Abbott Vascular
- Cordis
- Medtronic

Carotid Stenting: Intracranial Complications

Distal Embolization- TIA, CVA

- Most are embolic, cannot be identified by angiography
- Some are due to air emboli (preventable!)
- Probably more common in symptomatic patients
- Can occur with CEA restenosis
- Causes
 - Guiding catheter or sheath placement
 - Incomplete embolic protection
 - Most common cause of stroke with stenting

Cerebral embolism

- Patient selection is crucial as stroke is most common in:
- Age > 80
- Complex anatomy of aortic arch, carotid artery
- Severe proximal or distal tortuousity
- Vessel and/or lesion calcification
- Thrombotic lesions
- String-sign
- Failure of the embolic protection device

Improving Results of Carotid Stenting



New, Roubin et al. JACC Suppl. 41. 79a. 2003

Global Carotid Artery Stent Registry (GCASR): 30-Day Death/ All CVA *



*Wholey M et al. TCT 2003; n>12,000

Devices for Distal Protection

Balloon Occlusion Devices

PercuSurge GuardWire ** TriActiv System

Catheter Occlusion Devices

Parodi Guiding KJ Coppi Invatec Guiding KT Kachel catheter VelociMed

Filter Devices

Cordis Angioguard** Abbott/Guidant Accunet** BSC EPI Filterwire EZ ** Medtronic Interceptor Abbott Mednova** Microvena TRAP EV3 Spider**

** FDA-approved (carotid and SVG)

PercuSurge GuardWire[®] System

Components: GuardWire® EZ-Flator™ MicroSeal® Adapter Export®catheter





The PercuSurge GuardWire[™] System







Pro's and Con's versus other systems



Advantages

- Complete occlusion
- Proven effect in SVG's
- Flexible, low-profile stent delivery system
- Crosses most lesions
- Small parking space

Disadvantages

- Complete occlusion
- Exludes pts with contralateral lesions
- Failure may cause stroke
- Speed is important
- OTW design



ArteriA Parodi Anti-Embolization System (PAES)

ArteriA Parodi Antiembolization System (PAES)

Complete Protection



Distal Protection with *Proximal* Occlusion: Proxis System

Distal Sealing Balloon



Protection During Initial Wire Crossing

Protection During Device Placement



Vessel Evacuation



Proximal Occlusion Devices*

Advantages

- Transient reversal of flow in distal artery
- Operator can select a guidewire of choice
- Avoids embolization during initial passage of guidewire and throughout procedure

<u>Disadvantages</u>

- More cumbersome to use than other devices; large profile
- Imaging via stagnant contrast during device advancement
- Intermittent vessel occlusion

* Velocimed Proxis studied in SVGs-PROXIMAL Trial

More EPDs/ Filters Dominate



MDT/PercuSurge

Abbot/Rubicon - Guardian

Kensey Nash

Distal Protection with Filter Devices

<u>Advantages</u>

- Preserve antegrade flow
- Contrast imaging is possible throughout the procedure
- Spider device allows operator to select a guidewire to cross target lesion

<u>Disadvantages</u>

- May not capture all debris
- Difficult to evaluate retrieval of debris during the procedure
- Filters may clog
- Delivery/retrieval catheters may cause embolization
- Fair support/steerability/profile

CAS - Distal Protection Devices



Rx Accunet DPF-*Designed for Capture Efficiency*



7.5 mm RX ACCUNET™



- Designed to protect in challenging anatomy
 - Dual strut filter configuration
 - Flexible distal obturator
 - Concentric filter design with guide wire centered in filter
 - 4 radiopaque markers to visualize wall apposition
 - Capture Capabilities
 - Designed to capture a large embolic load
 - -4.5, 5.5, 6.5, and 7.5 cm sizes

Peelable Delivery Sheath

Controlled Delivery and Deployment

- Enter body as a single unit → Exit as a rapid exchange catheter
- Helps stabilize ACCUNET[™] Filter during unsheathing Partial Slit





Delivery sheath is peeled away during deployment

RX ACCUNETTM 2 Recovery Catheter



- Dedicated catheter for filter recovery
- 141 cm working length
- Rapid exchange (RX) design
- 0.014" guide wire compatible
- Radiopaque tip
- Soft, lower profile, flexible tip (ID= .038") to allow for deflection during advancement
- Flexible catheter shaft optimized for challenging GC/sheath access
- Catheter shaft markers: 95 cm, 105 cm

Rx Accunet DPF



Carotid lesion prior to treatment

Retrieval of RX ACCUNET

RX ACCUNET with captured material

Abbott EmboShieldTM

Gen V 2.9 - 3.2 Fr

Independent wire access (4 wires)







Cordis ANGIOGUARD™ XP Emboli Capture Guidewire

Polyurethane filter on a Nitinol frame

Basket Diameter: 4 - 8 mm

Oversize basket : 0.5 – 1.5 mm vs RVD

Filter Pore Size: 100 microns

Crossing Profile: 3.5F

Wire Diameter: 0.014"

BEACH

Boston Scientific EPI: <u>A Carotid Stenting Trial for High-</u> Risk Surgical Patients



The Filterwire EZ



Distal Embolic Protection Filters

| | Spider | FW EZ | A-guard | Accunet | Embosh | Intercep |
|--------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| Со | ev3 | BSC | CJJ | GDT | ABT | MDT |
| Material | Ν | N, PU | N, PU | N, PU | N, PU | Ν |
| GW (in) | 18 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 18 | 14 |
| Rx | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
| Ind wire | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No |
| Sheath (Fr) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Size (mm) | 4-7 | 3.5-5.5 | 4-8 | 4.5-7.5 | 3-6 | 5.5-6.5 |
| Profile (Fr) | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2-3.9 | 3.5-3.7 | 3.7-3.9 | 2.9 |
| Pores (u) | 167-209 | 110 | 100 | 120 | 140 | 100 |

How Can Distal Protection Fail?



- Inability to deliver device
 - Profile, steerability, landing zone
- Device induced complications
 - Vessel injury, distal embolization
- Procedural ischemia or intolerance
- Incomplete capture or retrieval of debris
 - By device design (filter vs. balloon occlusion)
 - Overwhelming burden of debris
- Embolization into proximal branches
 - External carotid (Ophthalmic artery)
 - Preventable with catheter-occlusion devices

Filter Features

- Delivery profile and flexibility
- Steerability
- Vessel wall apposition
- Pore size
- In vitro capture efficiency
- Ease of retrieval
- Clinical event rates

Distal Protection Advances

- Lower profile- 7Fr-> 4Fr -> 2.5 Fr
- Improved centering
- Improved transitions
- Independent wire movement EmboShield, Spider
- Independent wire use

Filters: Newer Devices











FiberNet® Embolic Protection System

Vessel conforming 3-dimensional fiber filter

- Particulate capture as low as 40 microns while maintaining blood flow during the procedure
- Filter mounted on .014" guidewire
- No delivery sheath required
- Low crossing profile (1.7-3.1F)
- Retrieval catheter with focal-suction during device removal
- Filter sizes to cover vessel diameters from 1.75-7.0mm
- Epic US Carotid Study:
- High surgical risk, multicenter, single arm registry, 30 day follow-up
- Epic European Carotid Study:
- Multicenter, single arm registry, 30 day followup



Carotid EPDs

- Filters should be the default mode
- Accunet, Angioguard and BSC Filterwire are all workhorse devices
- Abbott Emboshield and EV3 Spider offer the ability to wire independent of filter – may be especially helpful with tortuosity and high grade lesions, reduces need for buddy wire
- Percusurge has a limited EPD role as the filter technology improves and nano-sizes
- Careful case selection, thoughtful preparation and execution are the keys to minimizing complications